RESEARCH ARTICLE



Integrative taxonomy of root aphid parasitoids from the genus *Paralipsis* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Aphidiinae) with description of new species

Milana Mitrović¹, Petr Starý², Miljana Jakovljević¹, Andjeljko Petrović³, Vladimir Žikić⁴, Nicolás Pérez Hidalgo⁵, Željko Tomanović³

Institute for Plant Protection and Environment, Department of Plant Pests, Banatska 33, Belgrade, Serbia
Laboratory of Aphidology, Institute of Entomology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Branišovská
37,005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic 3 University of Belgrade-Faculty of Biology, Institute of Zoology,
Studenstki trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia 4 University of Niš-Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Department
of Biology and Ecology, Višegradska 33, Niš, Serbia 5 Institut de Biologia Integrativa de Sistemes (I2SysBio)
Universitat de València-CSIC, Cl. Catedràtic Agustín Escardino Benlloch, 46908 Paterna, Valencia, Spain

Corresponding author: Milana Mitrović (milanadesancic@yahoo.co.uk, milanadmitrovic@gmail.com)

Academic editor: K. van Achterberg Received 24 November 2018 Accepted 12 February 2019 Published 18 March 201						
http://zoobank.org/09695705-3C59-426E-8E78-2764B6F7B092						

Citation: Mitrović M, Starý P, Jakovljević M, Petrović A, Žikić V, Pérez Hidalgo N, Tomanović Ž (2019) Integrative taxonomy of root aphid parasitoids from the genus *Paralipsis* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Aphidiinae) with description of new species. ZooKeys 831: 49–69. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.831.31808

Abstract

Species from the genus *Paralipsis* are obligatory endoparasitoids of root aphids in the Palaearctic. It is known that these species are broadly distributed, parasitizing various aphid hosts and showing great biological and ecological diversity. On the other hand, this group of endoparasitoids is understudied and was thought to be represented by a single species in Europe, viz., *Paralipsis enervis* (Nees). However, recent description of two new species indicated the possibility of cryptic speciation and recognition of additional *Paralipsis* species in Europe. In this research, *Paralipsis* specimens collected during the last 60 years from eight European countries, as well as one sample from Morocco, were subjected to molecular and morphological characterization. Newly designed genus-specific degenerative primers successfully targeted short overlapping fragments of COI of the mitochondrial DNA. Molecular analyses showed clear separation of four independent lineages, two of which are the known species *P. enervis* and *P. tibiator*, while two new species are described here, viz., *P. brachycaudi* Tomanović & Starý, **sp. n.** and *P. rugosa* Tomanović & Starý, **sp. n.** No clear specialization of the taxa to a strict root aphid host has been determined. The recognized mitochondrial lineages were distinct one from another, but with a substantial within-lineage divergence rate, clearly indicating the complexity of this group of parasitoids, on which further research is required

Copyright Milana Mitrović et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

in order to clarify the factors triggering their genetic differentiation. We reviewed literature data and new records of *Paralipsis enervis* aphid host associations and distributions. A key for the identification of all known *Paralipsis* species is provided and illustrated.

Keywords

Cryptic speciation, molecular phylogeny, Paralipsis, Paralipsis brachycaudi sp. n., Paralipsis rugosa sp. n.

Introduction

Parasitoid wasps from the subfamily Aphidiinae (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) attack various aphid phylogenetic lineages, exhibiting several specialized associations with their hosts (Gagić et al. 2016). Few parasitoid wasps are specialized to parasitize only root aphid species (Starý 1961). However, there is no substantial biological knowledge about these obligatory parasitoid species of root aphids, probably as a consequence of them being of little economic importance and difficult to access for sampling. Moreover, it is well known that the parasitoids of root aphids developed obligatory relationships with ants (Starý 1966, Takada and Shiga 1974, Völkl 1992, Völkl et al. 1996). Although, there are many examples of relationships between ants that collected honeydew from aphids and protected the aphid colony and parasitoid wasps, it seems that chemical mimicry plays a more important role in some parasitoids [e.g., Lysiphlebus cardui (Marshall 1896)] than behavioural mimicry (Liepert and Dettner 1993). However, parasitoid wasps from the genus Paralipsis Foerster, 1863 have developed species-specific relationships with ants attending root aphids (Starý 1966, Takada and Shiga 1974, van Achterberg and Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón 2016). The genus Paralipsis is a good example as case of specific obligatory parasitoids of root aphids in Europe and the Palaearctic (Figure 1). Until recently, Paralipsis enervis (Nees) was considered to be the only European species, while P. eikoae (Yasumatsu) was known as a Far Eastern species (Starý and Schlinger 1967). However, after examining two samples of Paralipsis from Spain and the Netherlands, van Achterberg & Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón (2016) described two new species on the basis of morphological characters, viz., *P. planus* van Achterberg and *P. tibiator* van Achterberg and Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón. It is known that the genus Paralipsis shows great biological and ecological complexity and diversity in view of their acceptance of various aphid hosts and also having a broad geographical distribution.

Bearing in mind it was thought that the genus *Paralipsis* was represented by a single species in Europe, until additional two species were newly described recently, we started this research to address the possibility of cryptic speciation and recognition of additional *Paralipsis* species in Europe. Since rarely encountered, there is almost a complete lack of knowledge about morphology and reliable characters for diagnostics of these root aphid parasitoids.

A set of wasps collected during the last 60 years from eight European countries and Morocco were initially subjected to morphological characterization. In addition,



Figure 1. Forda sp. aphids colony on root Dactylis sp. with mummy of P. enervis.

DNA was extracted from available *Paralipsis* specimens to perform the amplification and sequencing of the mitochondrial DNA barcoding region of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI). We developed DNA amplification protocol and designed new internal genus-specific degenerative primers in order to retrieve short overlapping COI fragments for molecular characterization of the wasps. Subsequently, we used an integrative approach analyzing the morphological and molecular results to recognize phylogenetic lineages and cryptic species within the analyzed *Paralipsis* specimens. Two new species in Europe were described. In addition, we reviewed the host aphids and distribution of associations for *Paralipsis enervis*. A new determination key including all previously known and two newly described species is provided and illustrated.

Materials and methods

Insect material

We were provided with *Paralipsis* specimens collected during the last 60 years from eight European countries (Czech Republic, France, Germany, Lithuania, Moldova, Serbia, Slovakia, and Spain), in addition to one non-European sample from Morocco (Figure 2). Material was obtained by rearing from 17 different plant/aphid trophic associations, which included specimens emerging from 13 different aphid hosts (Table 1). Additionally, the paratype of *P. planus* was provided by the Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands.



Figure 2. Distribution of analyzed Paralipsis specimens in Europe including Morocco.

Our examination of *Paralipsis* specimens took into account reliable morphological characters used in aphidiinae taxonomy (number of flagellomeres, shape of flagellomere 1 and 2, number of labial and maxillary palpomeres, size and shape of fore tarsus, shape of hind tibia and femur, wing venation pattern, pterostigma shape, ratio between the pterostigma and radial vein 1, petiole shape, propodeal areolation, and ovipositor shape) (Kavallieratos et al. 2005, van Achterberg and Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón 2016, Tomanović et al. 2018). The morphological terminology used in this article for diagnostic characters of aphidiines is based on Sharkey and Wharton (1997).

DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing

The barcoding region of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase c subunit I gene (COI) was chosen for phylogenetic study as a proven informative marker in species delineation for numerous aphidiines (Derocles et al. 2012, Tomanović et al. 2018). Most of the samples subjected to molecular analyses were dry and stored in entomological collections (Biology Center, Institute of Entomology, České Budějovice, Czech Republic [abbreviation IECR] – specimens from Czech Republic, Germany, Slovakia, Moldova, France, Morocco, Lithuania; Faculty of Biology, Institute of Zoology, Belgrade, Serbia [abbreviation FBS] - specimens from Serbia and Czech Republic) prior to DNA extraction, except for several samples that were kept in 96% alcohol (Table 1).

Code*	Aphid host	Plant	Sampling year /age of sample at the time of DNA extraction	Sampling locality, collector	Country	
Pr1Rd*	<i>Forda</i> sp.	Dactylis glomerata L.	2016 / 2	Niš, Sićevačka klisura, lgt. V Žikić	Serbia	
PA1	Anoecia sp.	Agropyrum sp.	1960 / 58	Hořenec, BM 60/706, lgt. P Starý	Czech Republic	
PA2	Anuraphis farfarae (Koch)	Tussilago farfara L.	1969 / 49	Leverkusen, Rheinland, lgt. M Boness	Germany	
PA3	Brachycaudus ballotae (Passerini)	Ballota nigra L.	1960 / 58	Praha, lgt. J Holman	Czech Republic	
PA4	Anuraphis farfarae	Tussilago farfara	1974 / 44	Stankovany, Choc pohorie, lgt. P Starý	Slovakia	
PA5	<i>Dysaphis crataegi</i> (Kaltenbach)	Daucus carota L.	1959 / 59	Praha, lgt. Pintera	Czech Republic	
PA6	<i>Forda marginata</i> Koch	Agropyron repens L.	No data	Erlangen, Nordbayern, lgt. H Zwolfer	Germany	
PA7	Aphis lambersi (Börner)	Daucus carota L.	1974 / 44	Stankovany, Choc pohorie, lgt. P Starý	Slovakia	
PA8	Aphis sp.	Potentilla anserina (L.)	1963 / 55	Sušice, B m, lgt. J Holman	Czech Republic	
PA9	Unknown	Pastinaca sativa L.	1959 / 59	Jičín, Bor, lgt. J Holman	Czech Republic	
PA10	<i>Forda formicaria</i> von Heyden	Poa pratensis L.	No data	Erlangen, Nordbayern, lgt. H Zwolfer	Germany	
PA11	<i>Brachycaudus</i> <i>mordvilkoi</i> Hille Ris Lambers	Echium vulgare L.	No data	Čejč, Mm, lgt. J Holman	Czech Republic	
PA12	Unknown	Unknown	1960 / 58	Kisinev, lgt. Adaškevič	Moldova	
PA13	Tetraneura ulmi (L.)	Avena sativa L.	No data	Erlangen, Nordbayern, lgt. H Zwolfer	Germany	
PA14	<i>Dysaphis reaumuri</i> (Mordvilko)	Ranunculus sp.	No data	Le Combe, Passy, Ht Savoie, lgt. G Remaudiere	France	
PA15	Aphis rumicis L.	Rumex sp.	1987 / 31	Immezeur, lgt. Sekkar	Morocco	
PA16	Forda marginata	Poa annua L.	No data	Molety, raj, lgt. Zickai	Lithuania	
PA17	Forda formicaria	Poaceae	2013 / 5	Morales del Arcediano, Leon, lgt.N Pérez Hidalgo	Spain	
PA18	Forda formicaria	Poaceae	2013 / 5	Morales del Arcediano, Leon, lgt. N Pérez Hidalgo	Spain	
PA19	Forda formicaria	Poaceae	2013 / 5	Morales del Arcediano, Leon, lgt. N Pérez Hidalgo	Spain	
PA20*	Forda formicaria	Setaria viridis L.	1996 / 22	Sićevačka klisura, lgt. V Žikić	Serbia	
PA21	Forda formicaria	Bromus sterilis L.	1998 / 20	Petnica, lgt. Ž Tomanović	Serbia	
PA22	Forda formicaria	Bromus sterilis	1998 / 20	Petnica, lgt. Ž Tomanović	Serbia	
PA23	Forda formicaria	Bromus sterilis	1998 / 20	Petnica, lgt. Ž Tomanović	Serbia	
PA24	Forda formicaria	Bromus sterilis	1998 / 20	Petnica, lgt. Ž Tomanović	Serbia	
PA26*	Forda formicaria	Unknown	2015 / 3	Madrid	Spain	

Table 1. The list of available *Paralipsis* specimens subjected to molecular analyses.

*specimens preserved in 96% ethanol prior to DNA extraction, while the others were stored dry in the collections.

DNA extraction was conducted using a commercial DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, California, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Initially, we attempted to amplify the barcoding region of the COI gene from dry material using the standard primer pair LCO1490/HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). Each reaction was carried out in a volume of 20 μ l, according to the following protocol: i) initial denaturation 95 °C/5 min; ii) 35 cycles including three steps, viz., 1 min/94 °C, 1 min/54 °C, and 30 sec/72 °C; and iii) final extension at 72 °C for 7 min.

Since the standard primer pair failed to successfully amplify the barcoding region in more than three specimens, the next step was to test the suitability of the internal degenerative primers designed by Mitrović and Tomanović (2018) for dry museum specimens of other Aphidiinae genera. Partial success was achieved in such trials amplifying random fragments, but predominantly in the first 200–350 base pairs (bp) of the barcoding region, imposing the need to design new *Paralipsis*-specific primers with the aim to target the middle and last portions of mitochondrial DNA fragments. In the absence of reference COI sequences of *Paralipsis* parasitoids in the available public databases, we used our own sequences to design internal primers for dry material. These primers were positioned to amplify the missing fragments of COI, which could later be concatenated to longer barcoding sequences (Figure 3). Trials of retrieving the COI barcodes included PCR reactions combining the standard primers LCO1490 and HCO2198, ones designed by Mitrović and Tomanović (2018), and newly designed *Paralipsis*-specific primers, targeting overlapping fragments of different lengths and positions (Table 2, Figure 3).



Figure 3. Distribution of the primers used in retrieving short overlapping barcode fragments of COI from dry *Paralipsis* specimens.

primer name	5 ' 3' primer sequence	primer direction	Reference
LCO1490	GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG	Forward	Ealman at al. (1004)
HCO2198	TAAACTTCAGGCTGACCAAAAAATCA	Reverse	Folinei et al. (1994)
Aph2Fd	ATAATTGGWGGATTTGGWAATTG	Forward	
Lys1Rd	GAGGAAAAGCYATATCWGGAG	Reverse	
Lys2Rd	GTWCTAATAAAATTAATTGCHCC	Reverse	Mitrović and Tomanović (2018)
Lys3Fd	CATTTAGCWGGDATTTCWTC	Forward	10111110110 (2010)
Pr3Fd	CATTTRGCTGGWATTTCYTC	Forward	
PeF1	ATRATTGGWGGRTTTGGWAATTG	Forward	
PeF2	GCTCCWGATATAGCTTTTCCTC	Forward	
PeF3	TTCTGGWGCTGGTACTGGWTG	Forward	
PeR1	CAWCCAGTACCAGCWCCAGAA	Reverse	
PeF4	GGTCATAGAGGTATATCTGTTG	Forward	
PeR2	CAACAGATATACCTCTATGACC	Reverse	
PeF5	RGCTGGWATTTCWTCTATTATGGG	Forward	Paralipsis-specific newly
PeR3	CCCATAATAGAWGAAATWCCAGCY	Reverse	designed primers
PeF6	CCAGTTTTAGCTGGRGCTATTAC	Forward	
PeR4	GTAATAGCYCCAGCTAAAACTGG	Reverse	
PeF7	GATCGAAATTTRAATACTAC	Forward	
PeR5	GTAGTATTYAAATTTCGATC	Reverse	
PeR6	GGATCCCCMCCACCWACAAAATC	Reverse	
PeR7	GCTGACCAAAAAATCAAAATAAATGTTG	Reverse	

Table 2. The list of primers used for retrieval of COI sequences from dry Paralipsis specimens.

Products of PCR were obtained in 40 μ l following the protocol described by Mitrović and Tomanović (2018). All barcoding products were sequenced with forward and reverse primers for each part of the barcoding region using automated sequencing equipment (Macrogen Inc, Seoul, South Korea). Short barcode fragments were manually edited in FinchTV ver. 1.4.0 (www.geospiza.com), aligned and concatenated using the Clustal*W* program integrated in MEGA5 (Tamura et al. 2011). Sequenced mitochondrial barcodes were subjected to maximum likelihood best fit model analysis using the MEGA5 program. According to the obtained Akaike information criterion scores, the best fit model to calculate evolutionary distances was the Tamura-Nei model (Tamura and Nei 1993).

Maximum likelihood (ML) and maximum parsimony (MP) trees were constructed using the MEGA5 software, with 500 bootstrap replicates performed to assess the branch support (Felsenstein 1985). Another parasitoid belonging to the same subfamily (Aphidiinae), *Aphidius sussi* Pennachio and Tremblay, 1989, was used as an outgroup. A median-joining network (Bandelt et al. 1999) using maximum parsimony calculation was constructed with the NETWORK ver. 4.6.1.2 (http://www.fluxusengineering.com).

Results

Barcoding fragments of COI were successfully recovered from 18 specimens. The material subjected to molecular analyses was of different ages in terms of the time passing between sampling until DNA extraction; several of the oldest had been preserved in collections for nearly 60 years. This probably caused DNA disintegration, which resulted in failed attempts to recover the barcoding region with the LCO1490/HCO2198 standard primer pair. The newly designed *Paralipsis*-specific primers made it possible through diverse combinations to retrieve short subsequences of different length and position from disintegrated DNA of archival specimens. Prior to molecular analyses, all the barcoding sequences were aligned and trimmed to the same length of 568 bp. Comparison of COI barcodes identified 14 haplotypes (PH1-PH14) distinguished by a total of 83 variable sites, of which 51 were parsimony-informative (Table 3). The phylogenetic relationship was inferred using the MP and ML methods, which resulted in trees sharing identical topology with no substantial differences in bootstrap support (Figure 4).

Phylogenetic analysis showed molecular differentiation on the basis of COI barcoding fragments, with recognition of four distinct lineages. The first group includes seven haplotypes: PH1, PH2, PH4, PH5, PH9, PH11, and PH13, which morphologically correspond to the first known species in this genus and in Europe, *P. enervis*. The specimens were sampled from different aphid hosts (*Forda, Aphis, Anuraphis, Dysaphis*) in association with different plants originating from Serbia, Germany, France, Lithuania, and the Czech Republic. The average overall divergence rate between the haplotypes within this group was 1%, with distances ranging from 0.4 to 2.5% (Table 4).

The second lineage, a "Mediterranean" clade, includes haplotypes PH12 and PH14 from Spain, and haplotype PH10 from Morocco. The overall divergence rate within this group was 2.8%. Genetic distances show that the haplotype PH12 associated with *Forda formicaria* is intermediary, diverging from the haplotype PH10 from *Aphis rumicis* (2.4%) and from the haplotype PH14 associated with *Forda formicaria* (2%), while the genetic distance between the other two was 4% (Table 4). Haplotype PH14 belongs to the paratype specimen of the newly described species *P. tibiator*. On the basis of morphological examination, it can be concluded that the haplotypes PH12 and PH10 belong to *P. tibiator*, although with evident high intraspecific genetic diversity. These three specimens clearly differ from the other congeners in having an elongated flagellomere 1 (F₁) (the ratio between F₁ and F₂ is 1.3–1.4) and a large number of longitudinal placodes on F₁ and F₂ in males (5–6 in *P. tibiator* versus 0–2 in other *Paralipsis*).

The third distinct lineage on the phylogenetic tree consists solely of the haplotype PH7, with unknown host data. The single specimen available from Moldova is characterized by having a very rugose and irregularly carinated propodeum. It is described as the new species *P. rugosa* sp. n., clearly separated genetically, with average distance from the first, second, and fourth lineage of 7.3, 7.7, and 9.6%, respectively.

Haplotype	Specimens sharing the haplotype	Accession number of haplotype in GenBank
PH1	Pr1	MH475319
PH2	PA2	MH475320
PH3	PA3	MH475321
PH4	PA6	MH475322
PH5	PA9	MH475323
PH6	PA11	MH475324
PH7	PA12	MH475325
PH8	PA13	MH475326
PH9	PA4	MH475327
PH10	PA15	MH475328
PH11	PA16	MH475329
PH12	PA17, PA18, PA19	MH475330
PH13	PA21, PA23, PA24	MH475331
PH14	PA26	MH475332

Table 3. The list of identified barcoding COI haplotypes in the analyzed *Paralipsis* specimens.



Figure 4. Phylogenetic relationship inferred using the maximum parsimony (MP) method. The consistency index is (0.533333), the retention index is (0.681818), and the composite index is 0.476540 (0.363636) for all sites and parsimony-informative sites. The MP tree was obtained using the subtreepruning-regrafting (SPR) algorithm with search level 1, in which the initial trees were obtained by the random addition of sequences (10 replicates). The percentage of replicate trees in which >50% of the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (500 replicates) is shown next to the branches (in red color). Since the topology is identical, the bootstrap support of branches obtained by the maximum likelihood method is presented in black color as well. Barcoding haplotypes of the analyzed archival *Par-alipsis* specimens are designated with codes from PH1 to PH14, species name, host aphid and host plant. Abbreviations for the countries of origin are as follows: **GER** – Germany; **FRA** – France; **SRB** – Serbia; **LIT** – Lithuania; **CR** – Czech Republic; **MOL** – Moldova; **MOR** – Morocco; and **ESP** – Spain.

Group	Haplotype				,	Tamura	-Nei ev	olution	ary dis	tances				
	PH1													
	PH2	0.009												
	PH4	0.004	0.013											
1	PH5	0.016	0.025	0.016										
	PH9	0.005	0.011	0.009	0.022									
	PH11	0.005	0.014	0.005	0.011	0.011								
	PH13	0.004	0.013	0.007	0.016	0.009	0.005							
	PH10	0.044	0.046	0.044	0.036	0.050	0.042	0.048						
2	PH12	0.050	0.056	0.050	0.037	0.056	0.048	0.054	0.024					
	PH14	0.063	0.070	0.059	0.050	0.069	0.061	0.067	0.040	0.020				
3	PH7	0.071	0.073	0.071	0.073	0.077	0.069	0.075	0.063	0.077	0.091			
4	PH3	0.048	0.046	0.048	0.051	0.050	0.046	0.048	0.074	0.084	0.098	0.095		
	PH6	0.068	0.066	0.068	0.076	0.069	0.070	0.072	0.070	0.063	0.081	0.093	0.029	
	PH8	0.056	0.062	0.056	0.066	0.058	0.062	0.060	0.070	0.061	0.075	0.100	0.036	0.018

Table 4. Genetic distances between the COI barcoding haplotypes of *Paralipsis* calculated using the Tamura-Nei method.



Figure 5. Median-joining network obtained for 14 *Paralipsis* COI barcoding haplotypes. Green circles represent group 1 (*P. enervis*), with haplotypes PH1, PH2, PH4, PH5, PH9, PH11, and PH13; yellow circles represent group 2 (*P. tibiator*), with haplotypes PH10, PH12, and PH14; the black circle represents the single haplotype PH7 from Moldova within group 3 (*P. rugosa* sp. n.); blue circles represent group 4 (*P. brachycaudi* sp. n.), consisting of haplotypes PH3, PH6, and PH8. Circle size reflects the number of individuals with that haplotype (not to scale). Red dots are median vectors. Black dots are mutational steps.

Three haplotypes (PH3, PH6, and PH8) originating from *Brachycaudus* sp. and *Tetraneura ulmi* aphid hosts from Central Europe (Czech Republic and Germany) are grouped within the fourth distinct lineage. The barcoding haplotypes differ in the range of 1.8 to 3.6%, with an average overall interlineage divergence rate of 2.8% (Table 4). Specimens of *Paralipsis* within this lineage are characterized by having a more elongated petiole and ovipositor sheath in comparison with other congeners, and are described as the new species *P. brachycaudi* sp. n.

The median-joining network recognized the same four distinct groups of mitochondrial haplotypes with a confidence limit of 95%: group 1 (*P. enervis*) – haplotypes PH1, PH2, PH4, PH5, PH9, PH11, and PH13; group 2 (*P. tibiator*) – haplotypes PH10, PH12, and PH14; group 3 (*P. rugosa* sp. n.) – the single haplotype PH7 from Moldova; and group 4 (*P. brachycaudi* sp. n.) - haplotypes PH3, PH6, and PH8 (Figure 5). Using maximum parsimony calculation, we determined that all haplotypes are connected with no ambiguities, and the median vectors representing either unsampled or extinct haplotypes. A significant number of mutational steps (up to 40) connecting the groups confirms clear separation of the lineages, which corresponds with high divergence rates between the groups (group 1 and group 2 - 5.2%; group 1 and group 3 - 7.3%; group 1 and group 4 - 5.9%; group 2 and group 3 - 7.7%; group 2 and group 4 - 7.5%; and group 3 and group 4 - 9.6%).

Paralipsis enervis – a review of host aphids and distribution of associations

The presented review includes evidence obtained for the most part from consulted published references about the species. The material was often re-visited, which was possible due to its preservation in available collections (IECR and FBS). The review also includes some new supplementary records (*).

Eriosomatinae

Pemphigini:

Pemphigus sp.: Czech Republic (Starý 2006).

Eriosomatini:

Tetraneura ulmi (L.): Czech Republic (Starý 1972, 2006), England (Pontin 1960), Germany (Starý 1961), Sweden (Hincks 1949).

Fordini:

Forda formicaria von Heyden: England (Hincks 1958, Pontin 1960), Germany (Starý 1961), Serbia (Kavallieratos et al. 2004, Žikić et al. 2012), *Spain (Leon, 13.06.2013, leg. N Pérez Hidalgo).

Forda marginata Koch: Lithuania - Molety, distr. Žičkai, 1-VIII-2012, on Poa annua roots, sample 12HAO4563 I male (J Havelka)

*Spain (Arcos de las Salinas, Teruel, 24/05/2017).

Geoica utricularia (Passerini): Serbia (Kavallieratos et al. 2004, Žikić et al. 2012).

Anoeciinae

Anoecia corni (Fabricius): Germany (Völkl et al. 1996).

Anoecia sp.: England (Pontin 1960), Czech Republic (Starý 1961), France (Noury 1962).

Aphidinae

Anuraphis catonii Hille Ris Lambers: Czech Republic (Starý 2006).

Anuraphis farfarae (Koch): Czech Republic (Starý 2006), Slovakia (Starý and Lukáš 2009).

Anuraphis subterranea (Walker): England (Pontin 1960), Czech Republic (Starý 1961).

Dysaphis crataegi (Kaltenbach): Czech Republic (Starý 1961, 2006).

Dysaphis apiifolia petroselini (Börner): Spain (Suay Cano and Michelena Saval 1998).

Dysaphis reaumuri (Mordvilko): *France (La Combe, Hte. Savoie, 12.07.1989, *Ranunculus* sp., leg. G Remaudière).

Brachycaudus ballotae (Passerini): Czech Republic (Starý 1961, 2006).

Brachycaudus cardui (L.): Czech Republic (Starý 1961, 2006).

Brachycaudus jakobi Stroyan: Netherlands (van Achterberg and Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón 2016)

Brachycaudus mordvilkoi Hille Ris Lambers: Czech Republic (Starý 2006).

Brachycaudus sp.: Czech Republic (Starý 1961, 2006).

Aphis lambersi (Börner): Slovakia (Starý and Lukáš 2009).

Aphis roepkei (Hille Ris Lambers): Czech Republic (Starý 2006).

Aphis rumicis L.: *Morocco (Immouzer, 28.04.1985, leg. A Sekkat).

Protaphis terricola Rondani: Russia-Western Siberia (Davidian and Gavrilyuk 2014).

This integrated review contains broad information and also allows a cross-comparison of all the known host aphid-parasitoid locations of *P. enervis* in the Western Palaearctic. The true distribution range of *P. enervis* is somewhat more extensive than that derivable from the above review, since in most of the countries the parasitoid wasp was determined from individually sampled specimens with no data on the associated host aphids. Similarly, the distribution data reflect strength of the respective field research efforts. It seems that the northern distribution limits are the Scandinavian countries. The vertical distribution also manifests some peculiarities. *Paralipsis enervis* was also reared from the root aphid *Dysaphis reaumuri* sampled in the Alps (France) at approximately 2200 meters (see the review).

Descriptions of new species in Europe

On the basis of morphological examination of our available material from across Europe and the Mediterranean and using the COI mitochondrial barcoding marker, we confirmed the existence of the recently described *Paralipsis* species *P. tibiator*. In addition, two new *Paralipsis* species are described below.

Paralipsis brachycaudi Tomanović & Starý, sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/E2918E28-9DA3-41C1-8ABA-3546CF423793 Figures 6–14

Material. Holotype \bigcirc , Czech Republic, Čejč, 28.V.1963, reared from *Brachycaudus mordvilkoi* Hille Ris Lambers on *Echium vulgare* L., leg. J Holman; deposited in the IECR collection, slide mounted.

Paratypes 2, Czech Republic, Prague, 26.IX.1960, reared from *Brachycaudus* ballotae (Passerini) on Ballota nigra L., leg. J Holman; deposited in the FBS collection, slide mounted. Germany, Erlangen, Nordbayern, reared from *Tetraneura ulmi* (L.) on Avena sativa L., leg. H. Zwölfer; deposited in the IECR collection, slide mounted.

Diagnosis. The new species morphologically resembles *P. enervis* in petiole shape, absence of longitudinal placodes from flagellomeres 1 (F_1) and 2 (F_2), and fore wing venation pattern. *Paralipsis brachycaudi* sp. n. differs from *P. enervis* in having a longer petiole (Figure 13), the ratio between petiole length and width at the spiracle level is 1.50-1.60 in *P. brachycaudi* sp. n., while in *P. enervis* it is 1.30–1.40; somewhat shorter F_1 and F_2 (Figure 7) (the ratio between length and width of F_1 and F_2 is approximately 2.00 in *P. brachycaudi* sp. n., as opposed to 2.20–2.30 in *P. enervis*); and a propodeum that is smooth with just a few rugosities at the side (Figure 9), while the propodeum in *P. enervis* sometimes possess rugosities in the central parts which indicate for the presence of a central areola. Additionally, F_1 and F_2 are light-brown to yellow in *P. brachycaudi* sp. n., while in *P. enervis* only half of flagellomere 1 is yellow and the remaining parts of the flagellomeres are brown. The ovipositor sheath in *P. brachycaudi* sp. n. (Figure 14) is more elongated than in *P. enervis*.

Description. *Female: Head* (Figure 6) rounded, narrower than mesosoma at tegulae, bearing sparse setae (Figure 6). Head 1.1 times wider than long medially. Eyes oval, small with scarse and long setae. Tentorial index approximately 0.95. Clypeus with 15–20 long setae. Maxillary and labial palpi with one palpomere each. Ocular-ocelar line: diameter of posterior ocellus: Postocelar line=12:4:14. Malar space: height of eye =20:26. Antenna 16-segmented, filiform (Figure 7). Scapus widened at the tip, vase shaped at lateral view. Pedicel subspherical. F₁ equal to F₂ and F₃ and 2.0–2.1 times as long as its maximum width at the middle. Penultimate flagellomera 1.6 times as long as wide. F₁, F₂ and F₃ without and F₄ with one short longitudinal placode (Figure 7). Flagellomeres covered uniformly with short appressed and semi-erect setae.

Mesosoma: Mesoscutum smooth, and only moderately sculptured within small central area, usually with four rows of setae along its dorsolateral part. Mesoscutum 1.4 times as long as wide. Scutellum (Figure 8) smooth elongated, bearing 20–30 long setae in the central part. Propodeum (Figure 9) smooth, sometimes with rugosities laterally. Upper and lower parts of propodeum with 3–5 and 15–20 long setae on each side (Figure 9). Fore wing (Figure 12) densely pubescent, with long marginal setae, longer than those on fore wing surface. Vein 2-1A sclerotized (Figure 12). Pterostigma triangular, 1.7–1.9 times as long as its width (Figure 12). Second-fourth segments of fore tarsus in dorsal view (Figure 10) almost as long as wide (1.1–1.2 times as long as wide) and medium sized of apical bristles. Hind tibia medially and femur subbasally parallel-sided (Figure 11).



Figures 6–14. *Paralipsis brachycaudi* sp. n., female 6 head, anterior view 7 antennae, lateral view 8 scutellum, dorsal view 9 propodeum, dorsal view 10 second-fourth segments of fore tarsus, dorsal view 11 hind leg, lateral view 12 fore wing 13 petiole, dorsal view 14 ovipositor sheath, lateral view.

Metasoma: Petiole (Figure 13) smooth, with prominent spiracular tubercles, its length 1.50–1.60 times its width at spiracles and maximum width at level of spiracles 0.7 times distance between spiracle and apex of tergite 1; 10–15 setae positioned on posterior dorsolateral margin on each side. Ovipositor sheath (Figure 14) elongated, dorsally straight, narrowed toward tip, bearing 2–6 long setae on the ventral and dorsal surface. Length of ovipositor sheath 2.25–2.87 times its maximum width.

Length: body 1.5–2.0 mm; fore wing 1.3–1.7 mm.

Coloration: General body color light-brown to brown. Head brown with lightbrown mouthparts. Scape and pedicel yellow to light-brown. Flagellomere 1 and 2 yellow, remaining parts of antennae brown. Mesosoma brown. Legs yellow to light-brown. Propodeum yellow. Metasoma brown. Petiole yellow. Ovipositor sheath dark-brown.

Male: unknown.

Etymology. The name of the new species is derived from that of its aphid host. **Distribution.** Czech Republic, Germany.

Paralipsis rugosa Tomanović & Starý, sp. n. http://zoobank.org/8BA5B5C2-F16E-4006-AAC6-001662AC26B3 Figures 15–21

Material. Holotype female, Moldova, Kišinev, 26.VI.1960, unknown aphid host and host plant, leg. Adaškevič; deposited in the IECR collection, slide mounted.



Figures 15–21. *Paralipsis rugosa* sp. n., female 15 head, anterior view 16 antennae, lateral view 17 scutellum, dorsal view 18 propodeum, dorsal view 19 second-fourth segments of fore tarsus, dorsal view 20 hind leg, lateral view 21 fore wing.

Diagnosis. The new species differs clearly from all known *Paralipsis* species in having a strongly rugose propodeum (Figure 18) and scutellum (Figure 17) that are irregularly deep carinated, while other *Paralipsis* species are characterized by a smooth propodeum, sometimes with moderately expressed rugosities. Also, *P. rugosa* sp. n. is with F_1 longer than F_2 (the ratio between F_1 and F_2 is approximately 1.15) (Figure 16), while *P. enervis*, *P. brachycaudi* sp. n., and *P. planus* have F_1 equal or subequal to F_2 . An exception is *P. tibiator*, which has much longer F_1 than F_2 (the ratio of F_1 and F_2 is about 1.4). Further, F_1 and F_2 are very short (proportion of length and width of F_1 and F_2 are 1.76 and 1.50, respectively) (Figure 16).

Description. *Female*: Head rounded, smooth, narrower than mesosoma at tegulae, bearing dense setae (Figure 15). Head 1.1 times wider than long medially. Eyes oval, small, with scarse and long setae. Tentorial index 0.67. Clypeus with ten long setae (Figure 15). Maxillary and labial palpi with one palpomere each. Ocular-ocelar line: diameter of posterior ocellus: Postocelar line =12:4:14. Malar space: height of eye =11:13. Antenna 15-segmented, slightly thickened at apex (Figure 16). Scapus subapically with subparallel side at lateral view. Pedicel subspherical. F₁ (Figure 16) longer than F₂ and about 1.76 times as long as its maximum width at the middle, and F₂ and F₃ about 1.50 times as long as its maximum width at the middle. F₁ and F₂ without longitudinal placodes. Penultimate flagellomera 1.6 times as long as wide. F₁, F₂ and F₃ without, and F₄ with one short longitudinal placode. Flagellomeres covered uniformly with short appressed and semi-erect setae (Figure 16). *Mesosoma*: Mesoscutum smooth, sculptured laterally, with very dense setae laterally. Mesoscutum 1.4 times as long as wide. Scutellum subspherical, strongly rugose with about 15 setae (Figure 17). Propodeum (Figure 18) extremely rugose. Upper and lower parts of propodeum with 5–6 long setae on each side. Fore wing (Figure 21) densely pubescent, with long lower marginal setae, longer than those on fore wing surface. Pterostigma triangular, 1.62 times as long as its width. Vein 2-1A sclerotized. Metacarpus absent. Second-fourth segments (Figure 19) of fore tarsus in dorsal view distinctly longer than wide (1.4–1.8 times as long as wide) and medium sized of apical bristles. Hind tibia medially and femur subbasally parallel-sided (Figure 20).

Metasoma: damaged.

Length: head and mesosoma combined about 1 mm; fore wing about 1.7 mm.

Coloration: General body color brown. Head brown. Mouthparts light-brown. Scape and pedicel brown with small yellow terminal part. F₁ and F₂ yellow, remaining parts of antennae brown. Mesosoma brown to light-brown. Legs yellow to light-brown. *Male*: unknown.

Etymology. The name of the new species refers to the very rugose propodeum and scutellum.

Distribution. Moldova.



Figures 22, 23. *Paralipsis tibiator*, female **22** second-fourth segments of fore tarsus, dorsal view **23** hind tibia and femur, lateral view.

Key to the species of the genus Paralipsis Foerster on the basis of females

1	Propodeum and scutellum with strong and deep rugosities (Figs 17, 18); F_1 and F_2
	stout, 1.7 and 1.5 times as long as wide, respectively (Fig. 16); pterostigma triangular,
	about 1.6 times as long as wide (Fig. 21)
_	Propodeum and scutellum smooth or with moderate expressed rugosities (Figs 8,
	9); F_1 and F_2 elongate, 2.0–2.2 and 1.8–2.1 times as long as wide, respectively (Fig.
	7); pterostigma 1.8–2.0 times as long as wide (Fig. 12)2
2	Second-fourth segments of fore tarsus distinctly longer than wide in dorsal view
	(Fig. 22); hind tibia medially and femur subbasally widened (Fig. 23); flagellar
	segments narrowed basally
_	Second-fourth segments of fore tarsus approximately as long as wide in dorsal
	view (Fig. 19); hind tibia medially and femur subbasally almost parallel sided
	(Fig. 20); flagellar segments parallel-sided4
3	Mesoscutum and scutellum smooth and densely setous; flagellomere 1 distinctly
	longer than F_2 (1.3–1.4 times as long as wide); pterostigma triangular, approx.
	1.8 times as long as wide
_	Mesoscutum and scutellum moderate rugose and setous; F ₁ subequal to F ₂ (about 1.1
	times as long as wide); pterostigma twice as long as wide
4	Forewing 2-1A vein absent; Japan and Far East P. eikoae
_	Forewing 2-1A vein present, partly or completely sclerotized; Europe
5	Petiole 1.50-1.60 times as long as wide at spiracles level; F, about 2.0 times as
	long as wide; F, and F, yellow
_	Petiole 1.30–1.40 times as long as wide at spiracles level; flagellomere 1, 2.0–2.2
	times as long as wide; basal third of F, yellow till light brown and remaining part
	F, and whole F, brown

Discussion

We have demonstrated here a progress in methodology of DNA amplification by designing *Paralipsis*-specific degenerative primers to retrieve disintegrated DNA fragments from archived museum specimen collections of which can be considered as biobanks and used to discover new species (Yeates et al. 2016). Sequencing of the COI barcoding region of 18 specimens collected across the Western Palaearctic over a long period of time did not determine any clear specialization of taxa to a strict root aphid host. There is no geographical structuring of genetic variation between specimens associated with the same aphid host within the lineages *P. enervis*, *P. tibiator*, nor *P. brachycaudi* sp. n. However, it confirmed the existence of *Paralipsis tibiator*, a species recently described by van Achterberg and Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón (2016). Although a second recently described species, viz., *P. planus* (van Achterberg and Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón 2016), was not available for molecular analysis, the general morphological description (petiole shape, wing venation pattern, antennae) indicates that it is close to *P. enervis*, so we suppose it belongs to the *P. enervis* lineage. However, since *P. planus* was described on the basis of a single specimen, it is necessary to further explore the morphological and genetic variability of this species in order to finally confirm its taxonomic status. In addition, the present study revealed two new *Paralipsis* species, *P. rugosa* sp. n. and *P. brachycaudi* sp. n. Four separate phylogenetic lineages showed clear distinction, but with significant intralineage genetic variation between the haplotypes associated with different aphid/host associations. All phyletic lineages share aphid hosts from the subfamilies Aphidinae and Eriosomatinae. Many Eriosomatinae are specialized gall-producing aphids, but only on primary host plants, while this is not the case on secondary host plants (grasses), where they are parasitized by *Paralipsis* wasps and other specialized root aphid parasitoids.

It is necessary to examine in detail all known records of *P. enervis* in the light of the diagnosis given for the new Paralipsis species described in the present paper. Probably, P. enervis represents a complex of cryptic species, which is a common case among aphid parasitoids (Mitrovski-Bogdanović et al. 2013, Derocles et al. 2016). Although our molecular analyses were restricted to only 18 COI barcoding sequences retrieved from dry Paralipsis specimens, we recognized four phyletic lineages on the phylogenetic tree with a sister position of P. brachycaudi sp. n. in relation to P. enervis + P. rugosa sp. n. + P. tibiator lineages. The strong rugosities of the propodeum and scutellum in P. rugosa sp. n. represent an autapomorphic character state, while its possession of very short flagellomeres is a plesiomorphic character state. We recognize the elongated ovipositor sheath and petiole in *P. brachycaudi* sp. n. as apomorphic characters. We did not find any strong support for the existence of *Paralipsis* host-specific lineages. Brachycaudus aphid hosts were found only in the P. brachycaudi sp. n. lineage, while other aphid hosts are mainly shared between P. enervis and P. tibiator. Although Forda root aphids are distributed throughout the whole of Europe, P. tibiator attacked them only in Mediterranean-type habitats. It is known that the distribution of parasitoids is usually narrower than that of their aphid hosts, due to the more specific habitat and microhabitat of parasitoids (Starý 1970). The records of P. enervis associated with Brachycaudus aphid hosts should be carefully examined, as they may be referable to P. brachycaudi sp. n. All findings of P. tibiator are from Mediterranean areas.

Although most of our samples originated from central and southern Europe, *Paralipsis* species are distributed in several European countries, including ones in the northern part of the continent (van Achterberg 2012, Staverløkk and Ødegaard 2016). However, no *Paralipsis* species have been recorded in more than half of the countries of Europe (van Achterberg 2012). In the present study, we have not explored the relationships between ants and *Paralipsis* wasps. However, future research should reveal existing relationships of the two newly described species with ants and result in new knowledge about the biology and ecology of these *Paralipsis* wasps.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to G Remaudière (Paris, France) (deceased) and J Danilov (Lithuania) for supplying valuable material that contributed significantly to the pre-

sented research. We thank Frédérique Bakker (Collection Manager Hymenoptera, Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands) for loaning us the *P. tibiator* paratype. This study was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (III43001). P Starý was supported by the Institute of Entomology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences. The sampling of radicicolous aphids on the Iberian Peninsula was conducted in the context of Project CGL2015-68188-P, funded by "Ministerio de Economia, Industria y Competitividad" of Spain (MIMECO).

References

- Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16: 37–48. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxford journals.molbev.a026036
- Davidian EM, Gavrilyuk AV (2014) An annotated check-list of the aphidiid wasp fauna (Hymenoptera, Aphidiidae) of Western Siberia. Entomological Review 94(6): 892–913. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0013873814060098
- Derocles SA, Le Ralec A, Plantegenest M, Chaubet B, Cruaud C, Cruaud A, Rasplus JY (2012) Identification of molecular markers for DNA barcoding in the Aphidiinae (Hym. Braconidae). Molecular Ecology Resources 12: 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03083.x
- Derocles SA, Plantegenest M, Rasplus JY, Marie A, Evans DM, Lunt DH, Le Ralec A (2016) Are generalist Aphidiinae (Hym. Braconidae) mostly cryptic species complexes? Systematic Entomology 41(2): 379–391. https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12160
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783–791. https://doi.org/10.2307/2408678
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology 3(5): 294–299. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.or g/943d/38b9d96f8222e883604822bcafb7930ca6da.pdf
- Gagić V, Petrović-Obradović O, Fründ J, Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Starý P, Tomanović Ž (2016) The effects of aphid traits on parasitoid host use and specialist advantage. PLoS ONE 11(6): e0157674. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157674
- Hincks WD (1949) A genus and species of aphidiid new to Sweden from Linne's garden at Hammarby (Hymenoptera, Aphidiidae). Entomologisk Tidskrift 70: 171–174.
- Hincks WD (1958) *Myrmecobosca mandibularis* Maneval (Hym., Braconidae), a myrmecophilous aphid parasite in Britain. Entomologist's Monthly Magazine 94: 20–21.
- Kavallieratos NG, Tomanović Ž, Starý P, Athanassiou CG, Sarlis GP, Petrović O, Niketić M, Veroniki MA (2004) A survey of aphid parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) of Southeastern Europe and their aphid-plant associations. Applied Entomology and Zoology 39(3): 527–563. https://doi.org/10.1303/aez.2004.527
- Kavallieratos NG, Tomanović Ż, Starý P, Athanassiou CG, Fasseas C, Petrović O, Stanisavljević LJ, Anagnou MV (2005) *Praon* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) of Southeastern

Europe: key, host range, and phylogenetic relationship. Zoologisher Anzeiger 243: 181–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2004.11.001

- Liepert C, Dettner K (1993) Recognition of aphid parasitoids by honeydew-collecting ants: the role of cuticular lipids in a chemical mimicry system. Journal of Chemical Ecology 19: 2143–2153. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00979653
- Mitrović M, Tomanović Ž (2018) New internal primers targeting short fragments of the mitochondrial COI region for archival specimens from the subfamily Aphidiinae (Hymenoptera, Braconidae). Journal of Hymenoptera Research 64: 191–210. https://doi. org/10.3897/jhr.64.25399
- Mitrovski-Bogdanović A, Petrović A, Mitrović M, Ivanović A, Žikić V, Starý P, Vorburger C, Tomanović Ž (2013) Identification of two cryptic species within the *Praon abjectum* group (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) using molecular markers and geometric morphometrics. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 106: 170–180. https://doi. org/10.1603/AN12100
- Noury EN (1962) Contribution a la connaisance des Aphidiidae (Hymenopteres) de la Haute Normandie orientale. Revue des Sociétés savantes de Haute-Normandie 25: 55–60.
- Pontin AJ (1960) Some records of predators and parasites adapted to attack aphids attended by ants. Entomologist's Monthly Magazine London 95: 154–155.
- Starý P (1961) Notes on the parasites of the root aphids (Hymenoptera, Aphidiidae). Acta Societatis Entomologicae Cechosloveniae 58: 228–238.
- Starý P (1966) Aphid parasites (Hym., Aphidiidae) and their relationship to aphid-attending ants, with respect to biological control. Insectes Sociaux 13(3): 185–202. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF02223024
- Starý P (1970) Biology of Aphid Parasites (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) with Respect to Integrated Control. Dr W Junk, The Hague, 643 pp.
- Starý P (1972) Host range of parasites and ecosystem relations, a new viewpoint in multilateral control concept (Hom., Aphididae, Hym., Aphidiidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 8: 351–358.
- Starý P, Schlinger EI (1967) Revision of the Far East Asian Aphidiidae (Hymenoptera) (Vol. 3). Series Entomologica. Springer Science and Business Media, The Hague, 204 pp.
- Starý P (2006) Aphid Parasitoids of the Czech Republic (Hymenoptera: Braconidae, Aphidiinae), Academia Prague, 430 pp.
- Starý P, Lukáš J (2009) Aphid parasitoids and their tritrophic associations in Slovakia (Hymenoptera: Braconidae, Aphidiinae). Folia Hymenopterologica Slovaca Bratislava 1: 1–63.
- Staverløkk A, Ødegaard F (2016) New records of parasitic Hymenoptera associated with ants, including four species new to Norway. Norwegian Journal of Entomology 63(2): 188–196. http://www.entomologi.no/journals/nje/2016-2/pdf/nje-vol63-no2-188-196-staverlokkv12012017.pdf
- Sharkey MJ, Wharton RA (1997) Morphology and terminology. In: Wharton RA, Marsh PM, Sharkey MJ (Eds) Manual of the New World Genera of the Family Braconidae (Hymenoptera). Special Publication 1. International Society of Hymenopterists, Washington, DC, 19–37.

- Suay Cano VA, Michelena Saval JM (1998) Afidiinos (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) y relaciones pulgón-parasitoide en la provincia de Valencia. Boletin de la asociacion española de entomologia 22(3–4): 75–90. http://www.entomologica.es/cont/publis/boletines/676.pdf
- Takada H, Shiga M (1974) Description of a new species and notes on the systematic position of the genus *Aclitus* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). Kontyu 42: 283–292.
- Tamura K, Nei M (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Molecular Biology and Evolution 10: 512–526. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Molecular Biology and Evolution 28: 2731–2739. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Tomanović Ž, Mitrović M, Petrović A, Kavallieratos NG, Žikić V, Ivanović A, Rakhshani E, Starý P, Vorburger C (2018) Revision of the European Lysiphlebus species (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Aphidiinae) on the basis of COI and 28SD2 molecular markers and morphology. Arthropod Systematics and Phylogeny 76(2):179–213. http://www.senckenberg.de/ files/content/forschung/publikationen/arthropodsystematics/asp_76_2/02_asp_76-2_tomanovic_179-213.pdf
- van Achterberg C (2012) Fauna Europaea: Braconidae. Fauna Europaea: Hymenoptera: Symphyta+Ichneumonoidea. Fauna Europaea, version 1. http://www.faunaeur.org [accessed on 25 June 2018]
- van Achterberg C, Ortiz de Zugasti Carrón NF (2016) Revision of the genus *Paralipsis* Foerster, 1863 (Hymenoptera, Braconidae), with the description of two new species. ZooKeys 606: 25. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.606.9656
- Völkl W (1992) Aphids or their parasitoids: who actually benefits from ant-attendance? Journal of Animal Ecology 61: 273–281. https://doi.org/10.2307/5320
- Völkl W, Liepert R, Birnbach R, Hubner G, Dettner K (1996) Chemical and tactile communication between the root aphid parasitoid *Paralipsis enervis* and trophobiotic ants: consequences for parasitoid survival. Experientia 52(7): 731–738. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01925584
- Yeates DK, Zwick A, Mikheyev AS (2016) Museums are biobanks: unlocking the genetic potential of the three billion specimens in the world's biological collections. Current Opinion in Insect Science 18: 83–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2016.09.009
- Žikić V, Ilić M, Stanković S, Petrović A, Petrović-Obradović O, Kavallieratos NG, Starý P, Tomanović Ž (2012) Aphidiinae (Braconidae: Hymenoptera) of Serbia and Montenegro – tritrophic interactions. Acta Entomologica Serbica 17: 83–105. http://aes.bio.bg.ac.rs/index.php/aes/article/view/71